



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/006,936	12/05/2001	Herman Chien	6541-59286	9281
7590 02/03/2006		EXAMINER		
KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP			SAMS, MATTHEW C	
One World Tra	ide Center			
Suite 1600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
121 S. W. Salmon Street			2643	
Portland, OR	97204			

DATE MAILED: 02/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/006,936	CHIEN, HERMAN			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Matthew C. Sams	2643			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
Period fo	• •	VIO CET TO EVOIDE A	MONTH(C) OR THIRTY (20) DAVC			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN. 136(a). In no event, however, may dry will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mitte, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 /	November 2005.				
'—	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application	n.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-3,9,12,13,26 and</u>	35-37 is/are withdrawn fr	om consideration.			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>4-8,10,11,14-25 and 27-34</u> is/are rej	jected.				
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement				
٥,١	are subject to restriction and		·			
Applicat	ion Papers					
,	The specification is objected to by the Examir					
10)∐	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	•	•			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	· ·	en received in this National Stage			
* 9	application from the International Bures See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		ot received			
`	200 the distance detailed embe detail for a lie	Suranos ospios in				
Attachmer		∧ □ •	u Summanı (DTO 442)			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date			
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 er No(s)/Mail Date	8) 5) Notice of 6) Other: _	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. This office action has been changed to reflect the amendment filed on 11/25/2005.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 9, 12, 13, 26, and 35-37 have been canceled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 4-8 and 27-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Peck (US-6,606, 491).

Regarding claim 4, Peck teaches a communication device (Fig. 1 [24] and Fig. 2) comprising a register configured to store a user identifier (Col. 2 lines 12-61), a transmitter configured to transmit the user identifier to a network (Fig. 2 [54] and Col. 3 lines 51-57) and a SIM card (Fig. 2 [90]) wherein the user identifier is associated with a serial number assigned to the SIM card. (Col. 3 lines 51-57 and Col. 4 lines 53-62)

Regarding claim 5, Peck teaches a communication device (Fig. 1 [24] and Fig. 2) that has a processor (Fig. 2 [68]) configured to encrypt at least one of the device

Art Unit: 2643

identifier and the user identifier before transmission to the communication network. (Col. 2 lines 12-61 and Col. 7 lines 36-49)

Regarding claim 6, Peck teaches a processor (Fig. 2 [68]) and a user input interface configured to supply commands to the processor. (Fig. 2 [76])

Regarding claim 7, Peck teaches a cell phone comprising a display (Fig. 2 [78]) configured to display data and commands (Col. 6 lines 25-34), a user input interface for data entry and command entry (Fig. 2 [76]), a SIM (Fig. 2 [90]) that includes a SIM serial number (Col. 2 lines 49-61 and Col. 3 lines 51-57) and a transmitter configured to transmit the SIM serial number to a network. (Fig. 2 [54] and Col. 7 lines 36-49)

Regarding claim 8, Peck teaches a cell phone (Fig. 2), comprising memory (Fig. 2 [86]) configured to store a device identifier (Col. 3 lines 51-57 and Col. 5 lines 18-21), wherein the transmitter is configured to transmit the device identifier. (Col. 7 lines 36-49)

Regarding claim 27, Peck teaches a register configured to store a mobile station number and the transmitter is configured to transmit the mobile station number and the user identifier to a network. (Col. 1 lines 33-54)

Regarding claim 28, Peck teaches a mobile station number is a mobile station phone number which is the same as the MSISDN number. (Col. 1 lines 33-54)

Regarding claim 29, Peck teaches a SIM card (Fig. 2 [90]), wherein the user identifier is associated with a serial number assigned to the SIM. (Col. 3 lines 51-57 and Col. 4 lines 53-62)

Regarding claim 30, Peck teaches a register configured to store a mobile subscriber identity and the transmitter is configured to transmit the mobile subscriber identity to the network. (Col. 2 lines 30-61)

Regarding claim 31, Peck teaches the mobile subscriber identity is an international mobile subscriber identity (IMSI). (Col. 2 lines 30-48)

Regarding claim 32, Peck teaches the register is configured to store a mobile subscriber identity and the transmitter is configured to transmit the mobile subscriber identity to the network. (Col. 1 lines 33-54)

Regarding claim 33, Peck teaches the mobile subscriber identity is an international mobile subscriber identity (IMSI). (Col. 2 lines 30-48)

Regarding claim 34, Peck teaches a SIM with the user identifier associated with a serial number to the SIM. (Col. 3 lines 51-57 and Col. 4 lines 53-62)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 10, 11, 14-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parsons et al. (US-6,310,889 hereafter, Parsons) in view of Peck.

Regarding claim 10, Parsons teaches a content provider configured to communicate with one or more mobile stations (Col. 1 line 54 through Col. 2 line 32), comprising a content personalization interface configured to receive an user identifier

Application/Control Number: 10/006,936

Art Unit: 2643

from at least one of the mobile stations. (Col. 8 lines 56-61) Parsons teaches determining the user by varying methods (Col. 8 lines 56-61), but differs from the claimed invention by not mentioning the user identifier is based on a SIM serial number. However, Peck teaches using a SIM serial number as a user identifier. (Col. 3 lines 51-57 and Col. 4 lines 53-62) At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the SIM serial number of Peck into the content provider of Parsons. One of ordinary skill in the would have been motivated to do this since SIM cards can be removable, switched between phones and still have the user receive the requested content formatted for the phone. (Col. 2 lines 11-32)

Regarding claim 11, Parsons in view of Peck teaches a processor configured to deliver content to the at least one mobile station based on the anonymous user identifier. (Parsons Col. 8 lines 56-61, Peck Col. 3 lines 51-57 and Col. 4 lines 53-62)

Regarding claim 14, Parsons teaches a content provider comprising a personalization interface configured to receive personalization data that is a user identifier and a processor configured to provide content to a user based on the personalization data. (Col. 2 lines 11-32 and Col. 8 lines 56-61) Parsons teaches determining the user by varying methods (Col. 8 lines 56-61), but differs from the claimed invention by not mentioning the user identifier is based on a SIM serial number. However, Peck teaches using a SIM serial number as a user identifier. (Col. 3 lines 51-57 and Col. 4 lines 53-62) At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the SIM serial number of Peck into the content provider of Parsons. One of ordinary skill in the would have been

motivated to do this since SIM cards can be removable, switched between phones and still have the user receive the requested content formatted for the phone. (Col. 2 lines 11-32)

Regarding claim 15, Parsons in view of Peck teaches a database configured to store personalization data. (Parsons Col. 4 lines 35-45)

Regarding claim 16, Parsons in view of Peck teaches the personalization interface is configured to receive personalization data associated with an HTTP header. (Parsons Col. 4 line 66 through Col. 5 line 50)

Regarding claim 17, Parsons in view of Peck teaches a personalization interface configured to receive anonymous personalization data that includes a device identifier and the processor provides device-specific content based on the device identifier. (Parsons Col. 2 lines 11-32, Col. 8 lines 56-61, Peck Col. 3 lines 51-57, Col. 4 lines 53-62 and Col. 5 lines 18-21)

Regarding claim 18, Parsons in view of Peck teaches a personalization interface configured to receive anonymous personalization data from a mobile station. (Parsons Col. 8 line 56 through Col. 9 line 56, Peck Col. 3 lines 51-57, Col. 4 lines 53-62 and Col. 5 lines 18-21)

Regarding claim 19, Parsons in view of Peck teaches the personalization interface is configured to receive a user identifier that is stored on a subscriber identification module (SIM). (Peck Col. 3 lines 51-57 and Col. 4 lines 53-62)

Regarding claim 20, Parsons in view of Peck teaches the user identifier is a SIM serial number. (Peck Col. 3 lines 51-57 and Col. 4 lines 53-62)

Application/Control Number: 10/006,936

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding claim 21, Parsons teaches a method of providing personalized content in a wireless communication by selecting a user identifier and selecting content based on the user identifier. (Col. 1 line 59 through Col. 2 line 32) Parsons teaches determining the user by varying methods (Col. 8 lines 56-61), but differs from the claimed invention by not mentioning the user identifier is based on a SIM serial number. However, Peck teaches using a SIM serial number as a user identifier. (Col. 3 lines 51-57 and Col. 4 lines 53-62) At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the SIM serial number of Peck into the content provider of Parsons. One of ordinary skill in the would have been motivated to do this since SIM cards can be removable, switched between phones and still have the user receive the requested content formatted for the phone. (Col. 2 lines 11-32)

Regarding claim 22, Parsons in view of Peck teaches the user identifier selected based on a SIM card. (Peck Col. 3 lines 51-57 and Col. 4 lines 53-62)

Regarding claim 23, Parsons in view of Peck teaches selecting a device identifier. (Peck Col. 2 lines 12-61 and Col. 7 lines 36-49)

Regarding claim 24, Parsons in view of Peck teaches comparing the device identifier and the user identifier with a set of user profiles and selecting content based on a selected user profile. (Peck Col. 2 lines 12-61, Col. 3 lines 51-57 and Col. 4 lines 53-62)

Regarding claim 25, Parsons in view of Peck teaches a method of anonymous personalized content by selecting an anonymous user identifier based on a serial number assigned to a subscriber identification module (Peck Col. 3 lines 51-57 and Col.

Application/Control Number: 10/006,936 Page 8

Art Unit: 2643

4 lines 53-62) and identifying content for delivery based on the anonymous user

identifier. (Parsons Col. 1 line 59 through Col. 2 line 32)

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 4-34 have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Matthew C. Sams whose telephone number is (571)272-

8099. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on (571)272-7499. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DUC NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

MCS 1/26/2006